

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,839	11/12/2003	Jong Sik Paek	GK0005	9506	
7:	590 07/19/2006		EXAMINER		
Serge J. Hodgson			WEISS, HOWARD		
Gunnison, McKay & Hodgson, L.L.P. Suite 220			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1900 Garden Road		2814			
Monterey, CA 93940			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ω				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/712,839	PAEK ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Howard Weiss	2814					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 27 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ite extension fee iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL		ne or the imarrejection, t	sven in uniony med,				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
<ul> <li>3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li> <li>NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> </ul>							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15 and 22-25</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>none</i> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been consideration.		•					
because:  applicants' arguments were not persuasive. In reference to the obviousness of the use of glass, this is the Examiner taking Official notice (MPEP 2144.03 [R-1]). In reference to the request for an interview, the Examiner does not grant after-final interviews on the merits of the case. See MPEP 713.09 [R-1]. For reasons, the pending claims remain rejected for those reasons stated in the Office action mailed 4/24/2006.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
12. Invole the attached information disclosure Statement(s).	(F 10/30/00 01 P10-1449) Paper 1	xutsi //	/				

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Howard Weiss Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2814

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20060711